

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/580,016	ANDERSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MISOOK YU	1642	

**All Participants:**

(1) MISOOK YU.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Iver Cooper.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 50, 54-56, 66, 71-75, 81, 88, 89, 91, and 92

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MISOOK YU/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed Examiner's amendment regarding claims 1, 50, 54-56, 66, 71-75, 81 were discussed on July 15, 2010 and the amendment was authorized by applicant's representative on July 16, 2010, and the amendment to claims 88, 89, 91 and 92 were further discussed on July 16, 2010 and the amendment to claims 88, 89, 91, and 92 were authorized by applicant's reperesentative on July 20, 2010.